

POLICY SCREENING FORM

Policy Title and Responsible Person: CORPORATE PLAN 2011-2013 – PETER DEWHURST	New/Revised/Existing? NEW
What is the policy trying to achieve: TO IMPLEMENT safefood's AIMS, VISION, MISSION AND STRATEGY FOR THE PERIOD 2011-2013 Does it relate to other policies and how? IT WILL INFORM AND SHAPE ALL ASPECTS OF safefood's POLICIES AND ACTIVITIES	
Does the policy relate to functions carried out in Northern Ireland? (If not, no further consideration for screening or impact assessment is necessary – go to Page 9) YES	
Are the any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the policy? (Explain how) YES – safefood WILL BE TARGETTING VULNERABLE GROUPS IN SOCIETY	
Are there any factors which could contribute/detract from intended aim/outcome of policy/decision? If yes, are they: <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Legislative <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify: </div> <div> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consumers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public sector organisations/Sponsoring Departments/NSMC <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Voluntary/Community Groups <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other – please specify: SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITIES E.G. PUBLIC/ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH AGENCIES, EDUCATION SECTOR, FOOD INDUSTRY, MEDIA </div> </div>	Who are the main stakeholders?

Available Evidence, Needs and Priorities

Section 75 Category	Details of Evidence and Information	Details of Needs/Experiences and Priorities
Religious Belief	NO	
Political Opinion	NO	
Racial Group	NO	
Age	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> IUNA (2001) 'NORTH/SOUTH FOOD CONSUMPTION SURVEY'. KEARNEY J, MCCARTNEY D, MCCARTHY S, BURKE S, KNOX B, BARTON M (2008) 'FOOD AND NUTRIENT INTAKE AND ATTITUDES AMONG DISADVANTAGED GROUPS ON THE ISLAND OF IRELAND'. WHO (2000) 'A LIFE COURSE APPROACH TO HEALTH'. 	HEALTH AND NUTRITION EDUCATION REQUIRES A LIFE COURSE APPROACH ADDRESSING DIETARY INTAKES OF MEN AND WOMEN AT DIFFERENT STAGES OF THEIR LIFE FROM INFANCY TO OLD AGE.
	<p>THE RESEARCH IDENTIFIED DIFFERENCES IN DIETARY INTAKES BETWEEN MEN AND WOMEN AND BETWEEN OLDER AND YOUNGER ADULTS ON THE ISLAND OF IRELAND. DISCREPANCIES WERE IDENTIFIED BETWEEN NUTRIENT INTAKES OF CHILDREN AND ADULTS FROM LOWER SOCIO-ECONOMIC GROUPS.</p>	
Marital Status	NO	
Sexual Orientation	NO	
Men and Women generally	SEE DATA AVAILABLE FOR AGE	
Disability	'TARGETING FOOD SAFETY MESSAGES TO VISUALLY IMPAIRED' REPORT JULY 2010. CARRIED OUT BY MBL ON BEHALF OF safe food	A SINGLE RESOURCE CONTAINING FOOD SAFETY AND NUTRITION AS IDENTIFIED ARE NEEDED IN A VARIETY OF ACCESSIBLE FORMATS.
With/without Dependents	NO	

Section 75 Category	1. What is the likely impact (Major, Minor or None) on equality of opportunity giving details?	2. Are there better opportunities to promote equality of opportunity – Yes/No giving reasons/
Religious Belief	NONE	NONE
Political Opinion		
Racial Group		
Age		
Marital Status	MINOR	NO AS THE AIM IS TO ACHIEVE A POSITIVE IMPACT
Sexual Orientation	NONE	NONE
Men and Women generally		
Disability		
With or without dependents		

Good Relations Category	3. To what extent is the policy likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? Details of policy impact and level of impact – Major, Minor or None	4. Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? Yes/No – provide reasons
Political Opinion	NONE	<p>safefood FEELS THAT THE STRATEGY IS ESSENTIAL TO ALL REGARDLESS OF RACIAL GROUP, OPINION OR BELIEF AND THEREFORE ACTIVELY TAKES EVERY OPPORTUNITY TO DEVELOP GOOD RELATIONS AT COMMUNITY AND LOCAL LEVEL.</p>
Racial Group		
Religious Belief		



Additional considerations - Generally speaking, people can fall into more than one Section 75 category. Taking this into consideration are there any potential impacts on people with multiple identities? Provide details of the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities and specify the relevant categories:

THERE COULD BE POSSIBLE IMPACTS ON PEOPLE WITH MULTIPLE IDENTITIES AS A CROSS SPECTRUM OF S75 GROUPS WILL AND COULD FALL INTO THE LOWER SOCIO-ECONOMIC GROUPS IN THE ISLAND OF IRELAND. **safefood** IS AWARE OF THIS AND AIMS TO ENSURE THE STRATEGY WILL COVER THESE GROUPS.

Also, consider if the policy can help to promote positive attitudes towards disabled people and to promote participation in public life?

IT IS FELT THAT THE CORPORATE STRATEGY WILL BE SUPPORTED BY THE DISABILITY ACTION PLAN WHICH ACTIVELY PROMOTES POSITIVE ATTITUDES.

Screening Decision for EQIA (Does an EQIA need to be conducted on this policy?)

NO	<p>Specify reasons: IT IS FELT THAT THE CORPORATE PLAN OUTLINES STRATEGIC GOALS WHICH WILL BE DETAILED IN THE ANNUAL BUSINESS PLANS. THE STRATEGIC GOALS AIM TO HAVE AN OVERALL POSITIVE IMPACT ON S75 GROUPS AND SUPPORT S75 PRINCIPLES.</p> <p>Mitigation: WE BELIEVE WE CAN BETTER PROMOTE EQUALITY OF OPPORTUNITY BY INCLUDING IN OUR BUSINESS PLANS COMMITMENTS TO PREPARE RESOURCES AND GENDER LIFE STAGE PROGRAMMES ON FOOD SAFETY AND NUTRITION EDUCATION FOR BLIND AND VISUALLY IMPAIRED PEOPLE AND OTHER VULNERABLE PEOPLE. EACH PROGRAMME WILL BE CONSIDERED ON MERIT AND REVIEWED AS PART OF THE BUSINESS PLANNING PROCESS.</p>
-----------	---

Signed:  Name: PETER DINEEN Date: 16th December, 2011

Signed:  Name: PATRICIA MCARTHUR Date: 16.12.11

Signed:  Name: Angela Caldwell Date: 16.12.11

Final approval Signature:  CEO/Director Corporate Operations Date: _____